

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/809,885		03/16/2001	John L. Margrave	11321-P026US	7715
47744	7590	06/22/2005		EXAMINER	
ROSS SPE			HENDRICKSON, STUART L		
WINSTEAD SECHREST & MINICK P.C. P. O. BOX 50784				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS,	ΓX 7520	1	·	1754	

DATE MAILED: 06/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	مر					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Symmony	09/809,885	MARGRAVE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stuart Hendrickson	1754				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timey within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 A	<u>pril 2005</u> .					
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☒ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) <u>52-96</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) <u>52-58,62-68,72-78 and 82-93</u> is/are ref.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) <u>59-61, 69-71, 79-81, 94-96</u> is/are objections.</li> </ul>	wn from consideration. ejected.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examine</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposition and accomposition and accomposition and accomposition is objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the contract of the contract</li></ul>	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

HC

Application/Control Number: 09/809,885 Page 2

Art Unit: 1754

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found

in a prior Office action. The RCE filed 4/13/05 is accepted.

Claims 52-58, 62-68, 72-78, 82-93 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as anticipated by or, in

the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Chen et al. 'Chemical attachment ..'.

Haddon 6331262 makes reference to a derivatized SWNT. Note the 'other publications' section

and column 1.

Applicant's arguments filed 4/13/05 have been considered but are not persuasive.

The Declaration does not repeat an experiment. The arguments are accepted, but given the

requirement for only a single group to be present, it appears that this is possessed. Even given

the reinterpretation of the sentence in question, there is still sufficient reasonable evidence that

the claim material was made by the reference and thus the burden is upon applicant to show a

difference. The claims reciting the particular amount of functionalization are allowable. The

original Chen reference should be submitted for the record.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner Hendrickson

at telephone number (571) 272-1351.

Stuart Hendrickson

examiner Art Unit 1754